1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General BENJAMIN R. JOHNSON, Bar No. 10632 Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division 100 N. Carson Street Carson City, NV 89701-4717 Tel: (775) 684-1254 E-mail: BJohnson@ag.nv.gov  Attorneys for Defendants James Cox, Anthony Carrasco, Brandon Gonzales, Terry Lindberg, Marc Mallinger, James Stogner, William Sandie, and Daniel Wheeler	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
12	ANTHONY PRENTICE,	Case No. 3:16-cv-00060-MMD-WGC
13	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING
14	v.	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO SERVE DISCOVERY RESPONSES (SECOND REQUEST)
15	CHAPLAIN STOGNER, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	Defendants James Cox, Anthony Carrasco, Brandon Gonzales, Terry Lindberg, Marc Mallinger	
18	James Stogner, William Sandie, and Daniel Wheeler, by and through counsel, Adam Paul Laxalt	
19	Attorney General of the State of Nevada, and Benjamin R. Johnson, Deputy Attorney General, hereby	
20	move this Court for an enlargement of time to serve their responses and/or objections to Plaintiff's	
21	discovery requests. This Motion is based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and	
22	all papers and pleadings on file herein.	
23	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	
24	I. INTRODUCTION AND RELEVANT PROCEDURAL HISTORY	
25	On January 16, 2018, Plaintiff served interrogatories on all named defendants. Due to preparation	
26	for a trial that was held on January 23, 2018, in Case No. 3:13-cv-00433-MMD-WGC, counsel and th	

paralegal assisting with discovery have been unable to confer with the defendants and complete the

Defendants Cox, Mallinger, Rose-Thayer, Stogner, and Sandie have completed their

27

28

responses.

interrogatory responses and they were served concurrently with the filing of this motion. Defendants Carrasco, Gonzales, Lindberg and Wheeler need additional time to respond. Defendants request an additional eight days, up to and including March 16, 2018 to serve responses.

FED. R. CIV. P. 6(b)(1) governs enlargements of time and provides as follows:

When an act may or must be done within a specified time, the court may, for good cause, extend the time: (A) with or without motion or notice if the court acts, or if a request is made, before the original time or its extension expires; or (B) on motion made after the time has expired if the party failed to act because of excusable neglect.

The proper procedure, when additional time for any purpose is needed, is to present a request for extension of time before the time fixed has expired. *Canup v. Mississippi Val. Barge Line Co.*, 31 F.R.D. 282 (W.D.Pa. 1962). Extensions of time may always be asked for, and usually are granted on a showing of good cause if timely made under subdivision (b)(1) of the Rule. *Creedon v. Taubman*, 8 F.R.D. 268 (N.D. Ohio 1947).

Defendants seek an enlargement of time to file serve responses to discovery. Good cause exists to extend the time to file this motion. The majority of defendants have completed interrogatory responses. Defendant Carrasco, Wheeler, Gonzales, and Lindberg need a second extension of time up to and including March 16, 2018, to complete their responses.

///

18 | //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19 | ///

20 | //

21 | ///

22 || /

23 | | ///

24 || ///

25 || //

26 || //

27 | /

28 | ///

## **CONCLUSION** I. Based on the foregoing, Defendants respectfully request their motion for enlargement of time is granted and the deadline for serving discovery responses be extended to March 16, 2018. DATED this 8<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2018. ADAM PAUL LAXALT Attorney General By: BENJAMIN R. JOHNSO Deputy Attorney General State of Nevada Bureau of Litigation Public Safety Division Attorneys for Defendants APPROVED AND SO ORDERED: Willen G. Cobb U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE **DATED:** March 8, 2018